

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/734,200                                 | 12/15/2003           | Bob Cusack           | 053.0002 (026727.0001) | 4788             |  |
| 4372                                       | 7590 12/13/2004      |                      | · EXAM                 | INER             |  |
| ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN           |                      |                      | CUFF, MIC              | CUFF, MICHAEL A  |  |
| 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.<br>SUITE 400 |                      | w.                   | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| WASHINGT                                   | WASHINGTON, DC 20036 |                      | 3627                   |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   | $\psi$ .  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|-----------|--|--|--|
|   | 10/734,200   | CUSACK ET AL.  | V         |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |           |  |  |  |
|   | Michael Cuff   | 3627   |           |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with  | the correspondence addres  | is        |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep<br>ly within the statutory minimum of thirty<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI<br>e, cause the application to become ABA | oly be timely filed<br>(30) days will be considered timely.<br>HS from the mailing date of this commu<br>NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. |  |  |  |
| Status  | •  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 L   | December 2003.   |  |           |  |  |  |
| · ·   | s action is non-final.   |  |           |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa  |  | rs, prosecution as to the me   | erits is  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | or election requirement.   |  |           |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  | •  |           |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | xaminer. Note the attached   | Office Action or form PTO-1  | 152.      |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen</li> </ul>  |  | 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |           |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen   |  | plication No   |           |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price  | ority documents have been r  | eceived in this National Sta   | ge        |  |  |  |
| application from the International Burea  | u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |           |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | t of the certified copies not re   | eceived.   |           |  |  |  |
| •••   |  |  |           |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) 🔲 Interview Su  | mmon/(PTO 412)   |           |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)  | /Mail Date   |           |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | ) 5) Notice of Inf<br>6) Other:  | ormal Patent Application (PTO-152<br>  | 2)        |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Danielson et al. in view of Beach et al.

Danielson et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying the use of a web browser application with control user entry, HTML documents, and peripheral devices including a printer and cash drawers, which automatically open during a sale.

Danielson et al. shows, figures 1 and 3, an in-store multiple device communications unit and centralized data system (electronic data network). Figure 3 shows a district central site 30 (central database facility, central database management facility) in communication through line 32 with multiple store location units 31-N (application facilities, client data processing facilities). Figure 1 shows each store location units network including a point of sale network 13 (user interfaces, remote point of sale, having self-contained software), which performs the day-to-day sales functions (operation and verification of user-entered data). Sales and operation data (items) are processed through (received, retrieved, accessed) the network. The corporate network 34 (enterprise function) receives and supplies data (enterprise data) to the network.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,200

Art Unit: 3627

Beach et al. teaches, figure 1, a POS network system using an Internet and intranet scanning terminal system. In a preferred embodiment of the invention, a portable terminal having an integrated machine code reader (script instructions for control user-entry) and a radio is provided with a graphical user interface such as a "web browser" in order to display instructional files (column 2, lines 8-12). The structure of the system permits the retail facility to use standard programming tools such as HTML 3.0 for the creation of an Intranet/Internet environment for ease of retrieving and converting data files (column 10, lines 35-39). Figure 6 shows many devices including a printer linked to POS terminal 170 in order to document and facilitate sales.

The examiner takes official notice that POS registers can have cash drawers, which open automatically during a sale in order to hold the cash and facilitate the sale.

Based on the teaching of Beach et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the phone line communication system of Danielson et al. to incorporate the Beach et al. communications system including the use of the Internet, a web browser, and HTML documents in order to take advantage of improved displays and improved ability to retrieve and convert data files. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to expand upon the POS terminals of Danielson et al. to incorporate cash drawers which open automatically during a sale in order to hold the cash and facilitate the sale and printers in order to document and facilitate sales.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,200 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (703) 308-0610. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael laff 12/8/04 Michael Cuff

December 8, 2004